

LANGUAGE AND ATTITUDE

By. Rafika Dewi Nasution, S.Pd., M.Hum

Abstract

This article deals with Sociolinguistics, and focus on Language and Attitude, and includes about Theory of Attitude in Language, Language Attitude and Language Learning, and Application of Sociolinguistics.

Keywords: Sociolinguistics, Language, and Attitude.

Language is the primary means of human communication. It is a powerful social force that does more than convey intended referential information. It also indicates both personal and social characteristics of the speaker. Depending on the particular listener, a speaker's accent, speech patterns, vocabulary, intonation etc, can serve as markers for evaluating that speaker's appearance, personality, social status and character, among other things.

Sociolinguistics is the study that relate the language and society. In sociolinguistics related to social factors, context, attitude etc. If we speak to different culture absolutely we have to know their rule or attitude for using their language. Some language attitude studies are strictly limited to attitudes toward the language it self. However, most often the concept of language attitudes includes attitudes toward speakers of particular language ; if the definition is even further broadened, it can allow all kinds of behavior concerning language to be treated.

Theory of Attitude In Language

According to Behaviorism, attitudes are dependent variable what can be statistically determined by observing actual behavior in social situations. This is also causes problems ; it can be questioned whether attitudes can be defined entirely in terms of the observable data (Dittmar 1976 : 181).

Hoveland, Janis & Kelly (1953), Attitudes are usually defined as a disposition or tendency to respond positively or negatively toward a certain things (idea, object, person, situation). They encompass, or are closely related to, our opinion and beliefs and are based upon our experiences. Since attitudes often relate in some way to interaction with others, they represent an important link between cognitive and social psychology. As far as instruction is concerned, a great deal of learning involves acquiring or changing attitudes. Attitude change is especially relevant to management and sales training, provided one of the first major theories of attitudes change, developed in the framework of Hull's learning theory, and oriented towards the effect of persuasive communication. It is change in opinions can result in attitudes is no different in nature than any other verbal or motor skill, except that opinion relate to a single proposition whereas other skills involved a series of proposition. The acceptance of a new opinion (and hence attitude formation) is dependent upon incentives that are offered in the communication.

Festinger's theory of cognitive dissonance is one of the best known and most researched frameworks pertaining to attitude change. According to this theory, attitude change caused by conflict among beliefs. A number of factors determine the strength of the dissonance and hence how much effort is required to change attitudes. By manipulating these factors, attitude change can be facilitated or inhibited.

An attitude can be defined as a positive or negative valuation of people, objects, event, opinion of Bain (1927), an attitude is the relatively stable overt behavior of a person which affect his status, a which are different to a group are social attitudes or values in habit and vegetative processes as such, and totally ignores the hypothetical subjective states which have formerly been emphasized. It is how one judges any person, situation or object.

North (1932) has defined attitude as the totality of those states that lead to or point toward some particular activity of the organism. The attitude is therefore, the dynamic element in human behavior, the motive for activity. For Lumley (1928) an attitude is susceptible to certain kinds of stimuli and readiness to

respond repeatedly in a given way which are possible toward our world and the parts of it, which impinge upon us.

Language Attitude in Language Learning

Learners' attitude towards the language being learned have been researched many times by language teachers and psychologists. Most of the researches agreed that favourable (positive) attitude towards the language will affect more positive result in the learning. In contrast, negative view to the language being learned will be more likely to cause negative result in this study.

Kind of Attitudes :

1. Attitude to language

Intelligibility is also affected by attitudes. So people find it easier to understand language and dialect spoken by people they like or admire. A closely related point, at least for majority group member, is that people are more highly motivated, and consequently often more successful, in acquiring a second language when they feel positive towards implications both for politicians and language teacher.

Attitudes to language are strongly influenced by social and political factors. Language planners must take account of attitudes when they select a suitable language for development as official or national language. Attitudes to Pidgins and creoles, for instance, present major impediment to their promotion and acceptance of official language, or for use in schools.

Example :

Ray is east Indian teenager whose linguistic repertoire includes patois as well as standard English with the London accent. He has no illusions about his teacher's view about patois, the language variety that he speaks with his friends, "she'd rather we said nothing at all if we don't use "proper English". And as for Patois she hits the roof if she hears us using it at school. She calls it sloppy, ugly speech.

2. Attitude to standard English.

Standard English has an enormous legacy of overt prestige. It has been regarded as a symbol of British nationhood. For well over a century has been promoted as the only acceptable variety for use in all official domains, including education. By comparison vernacular dialects of English are down-graded. The political and social basis of these attitudes is clearly evident. While there is general agreement on the inferior status of vernacular dialect, many people are surprised to find that standard accents of English are so highly regarded by those who don't use them.

Example :

- a. *Next to our people our language is our greatest asset; it is the essential ingredient of the Englishness of England.*
- b. *English ought to be the queen of the curriculum for any British child. It is one of the things that defined his or her nationality.*

The social basis of these attitudes is very clear. Though there are many notable exceptions, In Britain, local accents generally are more highly on characteristics such as friendliness and sense of humor, and other dimensions which measure solidarity or social attractiveness.

3. Attitudes to Vernacular forms of English

What is meant here is not "grammar", but a number of arbitrary, superficial rules of formal standard English. It is difficult to take seriously the suggestion that using standard grammar encourages honesty, or that the use of vernacular forms has any connection with ingratitude.

Standard English used by educated people with relatively high social status. So middle-class children speak standard English, and children from other social group do not. Attitudes to language are important to sociolinguistics for a study of reasons. Sociologists have claimed that shared attitudes to speech or had speech norms is the crucial criterion in defining members of the same speech community. Attitudes to vernacular varieties, with implications for their academic progress. Attitudes to language have implications in many social spheres and

illustrate well the ways in which sociolinguistics research often has an implied dimension.

Application of Sociolinguistics

Imagine you are sitting at home and the phone rings. You answer it and find yourself talking to a stranger on the other end of the line. What are you thinking as you listen into them? When you talk to someone, you start to form opinions about them, sometimes solely on the basis of the way they talk (Chambers 2003 : 2-11). The last time you rang a service center to buy something over the phone, or to complain about something, you would have spoken to a complete stranger. And yet, within minutes or even seconds, you probably composed quite a detailed picture of who you were talking to. Were they male or female? Were they a native speaker of English? You may also have strong ideas about whether they are 'nice', 'friendly' and 'competent', or whether they are 'rude', 'disinterested' and stupid.

In other example, a sociolinguist might determine through study of social attitudes that a particular vernacular would not be considered appropriate language use in a business or professional setting. Sociolinguistics might also touch the grammar, phonetics, vocabulary, and other aspects of this sociolect much as dialectologists would study the same for a regional dialect.

The study of language variation is concerned with social constraints determining language in its contextual environment. Code-switching is the term given to the use of different varieties of language in different social situations.

William Labov is often regarded as the founder of the study of Sociolinguistics. He is especially noted for introducing the quantitative study of language variation and change, making the sociology of language into scientific discipline.

Understanding languages in society means that one also has to understand the social networks in which language is embedded. A social network is another way of describing a particular speech community in terms of relations between individual members in a community. A network could be loose or tight depending

on how members interact with each other. The looseness or tightness of a social network may affect speech patterns adopted by a speaker. A social network may apply to the macro level of a country or an city, but also to the interpersonal level of neighborhoods or a single family. Recently, social networks have been formed by internet, through chat rooms, my space group, organizations, and online dating services.

REFERENCES

Chambers, J. K. (2003). Sociolinguistic Theory : Linguistic Variation and its Social Significance, 2nd edn. Oxford : Blackwell.

Dittmar, N. (1976). Sociolinguistics : A Critical Survey of Theory and Application. London : Edward Arnold.

Edwards, A. D. (1981). Analysing Classroom Talk. In P. French and M. MacLure (eds), Adult-Child Conversation. London : Croom Helm.

Holmes, Janet. (1992). An Introduction to Sociolinguistics. Edinburgh : Pearson Education.

Sumarsih, (2017). Introduction to Sociolinguistics 1st Edition. Medan : Universitas Negeri Medan

https://www.academia.edu/29335111/People_s_Language

Attitude_in_sociolinguistics?auto=download

<http://rhunovy.blogspot.co.id/2014/08/attitudes-and-application.html>